Mathematical Analysis
Vol. 2 (2021), No. 2, 105-117

## Research Paper

# GENERALIZED SCHUR-CONVEX SUMS AND CO-ORDINATED CONVEX FUNCTIONS IN PLANE 

NOZAR SAFAEI


#### Abstract

In the paper, we investigate Schur-convexity of differences which are obtained from the Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for co-ordinated convex functions on a square in plane. A generated Schur-convex sums by co-ordinated convex functions also is given.


MSC(2010): 26A51; 26D15.
Keywords: Schur-convexity, convex functions on the co-ordinates.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of Schur-convexity was first introduced by Issai Schur in 1923. Since then numerous papers have been published about it, see for example [4, 6, 7, 12]. It has many important applications in analytic inequality, geometric inequality, combinatorial analysis, numerical analysis, matrix theory, statistics, information theory, quantum physics and so on. Let us recall the definition of Schur-convexity.

Definition 1.1. [1] Suppose that $I$ is an interval of real numbers. A function $f: I^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is said to be Schur-convex on $I^{n}$ if

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq f\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in I^{n}$ with $x \prec y$, that is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{[i]} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{[i]}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{[i]},
$$

where $x_{[i]}$, denotes the $i$-th largest component in $x$. A function $f$ is said to be Schur-concave on $I$ if $-f$ is Schur-convex.

Recall that a $n \times n$ square matrix $P$ is said to be a permutation matrix if each row and column has a single unite entry, and all other entries are zero. Also the function $f: I^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a symmetric function if $f(P x)=f(x)$, for every permutation matrix $P$, and for every $x \in I^{n}$, see [1, 9]. In order to prove our result, we shall need the following theorem which gives a useful characterization of Schur-convexity, see [1].

[^0]Theorem 1.2. Let $f: I^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous symmetric function. If $f$ is differentiable on $I^{n}$, then $f$ is Schur-convex if and only if

$$
\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \geq 0
$$

for all $x_{i}, x_{j} \in I, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. The function $f$ is Schur-concave if and only if the reverse inequality holds.

In [6] Elezović and Pečarić proved a theorem which gives relationship between convexity and Schur-convexity.

Theorem 1.3. Let $f$ be a continuous function on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
F(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t) d t, & x, y \in I, x \neq y \\ f(x), & x=y \in I\end{cases}
$$

Then $F(x, y)$ is Schur-convex (Schur-concave) on $I^{2}$ if and only if $f$ is convex (concave) on $I$.

The next theorem contains two result which established in [4] by Y. Chu et al.
Theorem 1.4. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function.
(i) The function

$$
F(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t) d t-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex (Schur-concave) on $I^{2}$ if and only if $f$ is convex (concave) on $I$.
(ii) The function

$$
F(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}-\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t) d t, & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex (Schur-concave) on $I^{2}$ if and only if $f$ is convex (concave) on $I$.
Let $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function defined on the interval $I$, the following double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t) d t \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}, x, y \in I, x<y \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known in the literature as Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions. In [3] it was shwon that if $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable function, then for all $x, y \in I$ with $x<y$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t) d t-(f(x)+f(y))+\frac{y-x}{4}\left(f^{\prime}(y)-f^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y}\left(t-\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(t) d t \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In [5], S.S. Dragomir defined convex function on the co-ordinates (or co-ordinated convex functions ) on the set $[a, b] \times[c, d]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a<b$ and $c<d$ as follows.

Definition 1.5. A function $f:[a, b] \times[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex on the co-ordinates on $[a, b] \times[c, d]$ if for every $y \in[c, d]$ and $x \in[a, b]$, the partial mappings,

$$
f_{y}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{y}(u)=f(u, y),
$$

and

$$
f_{x}:[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{x}(v)=f(x, v)
$$

are convex. This means that for every $(x, y),(z, w) \in[a, b] \times[c, d]$ and $t, s \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t x+(1-t) z, s y+(1-s) w) \leq & t s f(x, y)+s(1-t) f(z, y) \\
& +t(1-s) f(x, w)+(1-t)(1-s) f(z, w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, every convex function is co-ordinated convex. Furthermore, there exist co-ordinated convex functions which are not convex. The following Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for co-ordinated convex functions was also proved in [5].
Theorem 1.6. Suppose that $f:[a, b] \times[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex on the co-ordinates. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f\left(x, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) d x+\frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, y\right) d y\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f(x, y) d y d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x, c) d x+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x, d) d x\right.  \tag{1.3}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} f(a, y) d y+\frac{1}{d-c} \int_{c}^{d} f(b, y) d y\right] \\
\leq & \frac{f(a, c)+f(a, d)+f(b, c)+f(b, d)}{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

The above inequalities are sharp.
Remark 1.7. If $f:[x, y] \times[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is convex on the co-ordinates then inequality (1.3) reduces to the following inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq & \frac{1}{2(y-x)}\left[\int_{x}^{y} f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4(y-x)}\left[\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x) d t+f(t, y)) d t\right.  \tag{1.4}\\
& \left.+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s) d s+f(y, s)) d s\right] \\
\leq & \frac{f(x, x)+f(x, y)+f(y, x)+f(y, y)}{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In [10] by using the inequality (1.3) we proved the following results.
Theorem 1.8. Let $D:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ be a square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a_{1}<b_{1}$, and the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and has continuous second order partial derivatives on $D^{\circ}$. Choose
$a, b \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, with $a<b$, and let $\Delta:=[a, b] \times[a, b]$. Suppose that $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, then the function $F: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s, & x \neq y  \tag{1.5}\\ f(x, x), & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex on $\Delta$.
Theorem 1.9. Let $D:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ be a square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a_{1}<b_{1}$, and the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and has continuous third order partial derivatives on $D^{\circ}$. Choose $a, b \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, with $a<b$, and let $\Delta:=[a, b] \times[a, b]$. Suppose that $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, then the function $G: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
G(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right), & x \neq y  \tag{1.6}\\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex on $\Delta$.
We recall the following lemma from [2], which is known as Leibniz's Formula.
Lemma 1.10. Suppose that $f: \Delta=[a, b] \times[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}:[a, b] \times[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}:[c, d] \rightarrow[a, b]$ are differentiable functions. Then, the function $\varphi:[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\varphi(t)=\int_{\alpha_{1}(t)}^{\alpha_{2}(t)} f(x, t) d x
$$

has a derivative for each $t \in[c, d]$, which is given by

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(t)=f\left(\alpha_{2}(t), t\right) \alpha_{2}^{\prime}(t)-f\left(\alpha_{1}(t), t\right) \alpha_{1}^{\prime}(t)+\int_{\alpha_{1}(t)}^{\alpha_{2}(t)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, t) d x
$$

Moreover, in [10] we proved the following lemma which will be useful in the sequal. A version of the following lemma proved in [11].

Lemma 1.11. Let $F(u, v)=\int_{u}^{v} \int_{u}^{v} f(x, y) d x d y$, where $f(x, y)$ is continuous on the rectangle $[a, p] \times[a, q], u=u(b)$ and $v=v(b)$ are differentiable with $a \leq u(b) \leq p$ and $a \leq v(b) \leq q$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial b}= & \left(\int_{u}^{v} f(x, v) d x+\int_{u}^{v} f(v, y) d y\right) v^{\prime}(b) \\
& -\left(\int_{u}^{v} f(x, u) d x+\int_{u}^{v} f(u, y) d y\right) u^{\prime}(b) \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The main purpose of this article is to establish the Schur-convexity of symmetric functions which we obtain from the inequality (1.3).

## 2. Main Results

In this section we prove theorems like those Theorems 1.8, 1.9 for all differences related to inequalities in (1.3). To reach our goal, we need the following two lemmas, see [10].

Lemma 2.1. Let $D:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ be a square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a_{1}<b_{1}$, and the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and has continuous second order partial derivatives on $D^{\circ}$ (the interior of $D)$. Choose $a, b \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, with $a<b$, and let $\Delta:=[a, b] \times[a, b]$. Suppose that the function $F_{1}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
F_{1}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2(y-x)}\left(\int_{x}^{y} f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s\right) & \\ -f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right), & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

Then, for all $t_{0} \in[a, b]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x}\right|_{\left(t_{0}, t_{0}\right)} & =\left.\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial y}\right|_{\left(t_{0}, t_{0}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, s)\right|_{\left(t_{0}, t_{0}\right)}+\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(t, s)\right|_{\left(t_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, t)\right|_{t_{0}}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to once in lemma 2.1 hence we omit it.
Lemma 2.2. Let $D:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ be a square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a_{1}<b_{1}$, and the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and has continuous third order partial derivatives on $D^{\circ}$. Choose $a, b \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, with $a<b$, and let $\Delta:=[a, b] \times[a, b]$. Suppose that the function $G_{1}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
G_{1}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4(y-x)}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right) & \\ -\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s \\ 0, & x \neq y \\ & x=y\end{cases}
$$

Then, for all $t_{0} \in[a, b]$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\left.\partial G_{1}\right|_{\left(t_{0}, t_{0}\right)}=}{}=\left.\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial y}\right|_{\left(t_{0}, t_{0}\right)} \\
\\
=\frac{\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, s)\right|_{\left(t_{0}, t_{0}\right)}+\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(t, s)\right|_{\left(t_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, t)\right|_{t_{0}}}{12} .
\end{array}
$$

In the following result we establish the Schur-convexity of the difference between the righthand side and the left hand side of the first inequality in (1.4).
Theorem 2.3. Let $D:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ be a square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a_{1}<b_{1}$, and the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and has continuous second order partial derivatives on $D^{\circ}$. Choose $a, b \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, with $a<b$, and let $\Delta:=[a, b] \times[a, b]$. Suppose that $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, then the function $F_{1}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F_{1}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2(y-x)}\left(\int_{x}^{y} f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s\right) &  \tag{2.1}\\ -f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right), & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex on $\Delta$.
Proof. Case 1: If $x, y \in[a, b]$, with $x=y$. Then Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$
(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x}\right)=0 .
$$

Case 2: If $x, y \in[a, b]$, with $x \neq y$. Since $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, the mapping $g_{\frac{x+y}{2}}(t):=f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)$ is convex on $[a, b]$ for every $x, y \in[a, b]$. Then by using the second inequality in (1.1), for the mapping $g$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} g_{\frac{x+y}{2}}(t) d t \leq \frac{g_{\frac{x+y}{2}}(x)+g_{\frac{x+y}{2}}(y)}{2} .
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t \leq \frac{f\left(x, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(y, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)}{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x, y \in[a, b]$ with $x \neq y$. Similar way for the mapping $g_{\frac{x+y}{2}}(s):=f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s \leq \frac{f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, x\right)+f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, y\right)}{2} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing inequalities (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[f\left(x, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(y, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, x\right)+f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, y\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

By using Lemma 1.10 for the function $F_{1}$ in (2.1) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial y}= & \frac{-1}{2(y-x)^{2}}\left[\int_{x}^{y} f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2(y-x)}\left[f\left(y, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t\right. \\
& \left.+f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, y\right)+\int_{x}^{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s\right]-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x}= & \frac{1}{2(y-x)^{2}}\left[\int_{x}^{y} f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2(y-x)}\left[-f\left(x, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, x\right)+\int_{x}^{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s\right]-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x}\right)= & \frac{-1}{y-x}\left(\int_{x}^{y} f\left(t, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, s\right) d s\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[f\left(y, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, y\right)\right. \\
& \left.+f\left(x, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, x\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from inequality (2.4) we have $(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x}\right) \geq 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 the function $F_{1}$ is Schur-convex.

In the following result we establish the Schur-convexity of the difference between the righthand side and the left hand hand side of the third inequality in (1.4).

Theorem 2.4. Let $D:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ be a square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a_{1}<b_{1}$, and the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and has continuous third order partial derivatives on $D^{\circ}$. Choose $a, b \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, with $a<b$, and let $\Delta:=[a, b] \times[a, b]$. Suppose that $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, then the function $G_{1}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
G_{1}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4(y-x)}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right) &  \tag{2.5}\\ -\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s \\ 0, & x \neq y \\ & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex on $\Delta$.
Proof. Case 1: If $x, y \in[a, b]$, with $x=y$. Then Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$
(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial x}\right)=0
$$

Case 2: If $x, y \in[a, b]$, with $x \neq y$. Since $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, the mapping $g_{s}(t):=f(t, s)$ is convex on $[a, b]$ for every $s \in[a, b]$. By convexity of $g, g_{s}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in[a, b]$. Then by equality (1.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} g_{s}(t) d t-\left(g_{s}(x)+g_{s}(y)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(y-x)\left(\left.\frac{\partial g_{s}(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=y}-\left.\frac{\partial g_{s}(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=x}\right) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t-(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y, s)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, s)\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $x, y \in[a, b]$, with $x \neq y$. Integrating this inequality on $[x, y]$, and multiple by $\frac{2}{y-x}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{4}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s-\frac{2}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s  \tag{2.6}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y, s)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, s)\right) d s\right] \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

for every $x, y \in[a, b]$, with $x<y$. Similar way for the mapping $g_{t}(s):=f(t, s)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{4}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s-\frac{2}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y, s)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, s)\right) d t\right] \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Summing inequalities (2.6) and (2.7), and dividing by 2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{4}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s \\
& -\frac{1}{y-x}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left[\int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y, s)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, s)\right) d t\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left.+\int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y, s)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, s)\right) d s\right] \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for every $x, y \in[a, b]$, with $x \neq y$.
For the function $G_{1}$ was defined in (2.5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial y}= & \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{-1}{(y-x)^{2}}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{y-x}\left(f(y, x)+f(y, y)+\int_{x}^{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y) d t+f(x, y)+f(y, y)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{x}^{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y, s) d s\right)\right]+\frac{2}{(y-x)^{3}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s \\
& -\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}}\left(\int_{x}^{y} f(t, y) d t+\int_{x}^{y} f(y, s) d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial x}= & \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{y-x}\left(-f(x, x)-f(x, y)+\int_{x}^{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) d t-f(y, x)-f(x, x)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{x}^{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, s) d s\right)\right]-\frac{2}{(y-x)^{3}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s \\
& +\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}}\left(\int_{x}^{y} f(t, x) d t+\int_{x}^{y} f(x, s) d s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (y-x)\left(\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial x}\right) \\
= & \frac{-1}{2(y-x)}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(f(x, x)+f(y, y)+f(x, y)+f(y, x))+\frac{4}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s \\
& -\frac{1}{y-x}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left[\int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x)\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y, s)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x)\right) d s\right] \\
= & A+B
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \frac{-1}{2(y-x)}\left[\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(f(x, x)+f(x, y)+f(y, x)+f(y, y)) \\
B= & \frac{4}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s \\
- & \frac{1}{y-x}\left[\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right] \\
+ & \frac{1}{4}\left[\int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x)\right) d t+\int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y, s)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x)\right) d s\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates, the last inequality in (1.4) shows that $A \geq 0$, and from inequality (2.8) we have $B \geq 0$. Thus we get $(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial x}\right) \geq 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 the function $G_{1}$ is Schur-convex.

In the following result we establish the Schur-convexity of the difference between the righthand side and the left hand hand side of the last inequality in (1.4).

Theorem 2.5. Let $D:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ be a square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a_{1}<b_{1}$, and the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and has continuous second order partial derivatives on $D^{\circ}$. Choose $a, b \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, with $a<b$, and let $\Delta:=[a, b] \times[a, b]$. Suppose that $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, then the function $F_{2}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F_{2}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4}(f(x, x)+f(x, y)+f(y, x)+f(y, y))  \tag{2.9}\\ -\frac{1}{4(y-x)}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, x)) d t+(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right), & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex on $\Delta$.
Proof. Since $f$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, the function $g_{x}(t)=f(t, x)$ is convex for every $x \in[a, b]$. By using the part (ii) of theorem 1.4 for the function $g_{x}(t)$, the function $H_{1}$ defined by

$$
H_{1}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{g_{x}(x)+g_{x}(y)}{2}-\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} g_{x}(t) d t, & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex. That is

$$
H_{1}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{f(x, x)+f(y, x)}{2}-\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(x, t) d t, & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex, for every $x, y \in[a, b]$. Similarly way for the convex function $g_{y}(t)=f(t, y)$, the function $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ defined by

$$
H_{2}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{f(x, y)+f(y, y)}{2}-\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, y) d t, & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex. Also for the convex functions $g_{x}(s)=f(x, s)$ and $g_{y}(s)=f(y, s)$ the functions $H_{3}$ and $H_{4}$ which are defined by

$$
H_{3}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{f(x, x)+f(x, y)}{2}-\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(x, s) d s, & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
H_{4}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{f(y, x)+f(y, y)}{2}-\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(y, s) d s, & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

are Schur-convex, for every $x, y \in[a, b]$. Since the sum of Schur-convex functions is Schurconvex, we see that the function

$$
F_{2}=\frac{H_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3}+H_{4}}{4}
$$

is Schur-convex on $\Delta$.
The following corollaries establish the Schur-convexity of other differences of inequalities in (1.4).

Corollary 2.6. With the assumptions of Theorem 2.4, the function $F_{3}:[a, b] \times[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F_{3}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4}(f(x, x)+f(x, y)+f(y, x)+f(y, y))  \tag{2.10}\\ -\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}}\left(\int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y} f(t, s) d t d s\right), & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex.
Proof. Since the functions $G_{1}, F_{2}$ in (2.5), and (2.9) are Schur-convex, it follows that $F_{3}=$ $G_{1}+F_{2}$ is Schur-convex.

Corollary 2.7. With the assumptions of Theorem 2.4, the function $F_{4}:[a, b] \times[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F_{4}(x, y):=\frac{1}{4}(f(x, x)+f(x, y)+f(y, x)+f(y, y))-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)
$$

is Schur-convex.
Proof. Since the functions $G, F_{3}$ in (1.6), and (2.10) are Schur-convex, it follows that $F_{4}=$ $G+F_{3}$ is Schur-convex.

It is known that if a function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex then it generates Schur-convex sums, that is the function $F: I^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F(x)=F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)+\ldots+f\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

is Schur-convex. Convexity of $f$ is a sufficient but not necessary condition under which $F$ is Schur-convex ( $F$ is convex and symmetric), see[1]. In [8] Ng proved that a function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generates Schur-convex sums if and only if it is Wright-convex. The following corollary shows that a co-ordinated convex function generates Schur-convex sums.

Corollary 2.8. With the assumptions of Theorem 2.4, the symmetric function $F_{5}:[a, b] \times$ $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{5}(x, y):=f(x, x)+f(x, y)+f(y, x)+f(y, y) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is Schur-convex.
Proof. Since the functions $F, F_{3}$ in (1.5), and (2.10) are Schur-convex, it follows that $F_{5}=$ $G+F_{3}$ is Schur-convex.

The converse of corollary 2.8 is not true in general (consider $f(t, s):=2 t^{2}-s^{2}$ on $[0,1] \times$ $[0,1]$ ). Also the following example shows that the function $F_{5}$ in (2.11) need not to be convex on the co-ordinates.

Example 2.9. Consider the co-ordinated convex function $f(t, s):=t^{3}-t s$ on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$, for the function $F_{5}$ in (2.11) we have $F_{5}(x, y)=2 x^{3}+2 y^{3}-x^{2}-y^{2}-2 x y$, where $x, y \in[0,1]$. It is easy to see that $F_{5}$ is not convex on the co-ordinates on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. Moreover $F_{5}$ is not convex on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$.
Corollary 2.10. With the assumptions of Theorem 2.4, the symmetric function $F_{6}:[a, b] \times$ $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F_{6}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4(y-x)}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right) & \\ -f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right), & x \neq y \\ 0, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex.
Proof. Since the functions $G, G_{1}$ in (1.6), and (2.5) are Schur-convex, it follows that $F_{6}=$ $G+G_{1}$ is Schur-convex.
Corollary 2.11. With the assumptions of Theorem 2.4, the symmetric function $F_{7}:[a, b] \times$ $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F_{7}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4(y-x)}\left(\int_{x}^{y}(f(t, x)+f(t, y)) d t+\int_{x}^{y}(f(x, s)+f(y, s)) d s\right), & x \neq y \\ f(x, x), & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex.
Proof. Since the functions $F, G_{1}$ in (1.5), and (2.5) are Schur-convex, it follows that $F_{7}=$ $F+G_{1}$ is Schur-convex.

In the following examples we show that the converses of theorems 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 are not true in general.
Example 2.12. Consider the non co-ordinated convex function :

$$
f(t, s):=3 t^{2}-2 s^{2}, \quad t, s \in[0,1] .
$$

It is easy to see that for the function $F_{1}$ in (2.1), $F_{1}(x, x)=0$, for every $x \in[0,1]$. Moreover for every $x, y \in[0,1]$, with $x \neq y$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}(x, y) & =\frac{1}{2(y-x)} \int_{x}^{y}\left(t^{2}+\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}\right) d t-\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{24}(x-y)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
F_{1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{24}(x-y)^{2},
$$

for every $x, y \in[0,1]$. Clearly $F_{1}$ is symmetric, continuous and differentiable on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. Thus, for every $x, y \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x}\right)=\frac{1}{6}(y-x)^{2} \geq 0
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 the function $F_{1}$ is Schur-convex.
Example 2.13. Consider the non co-ordinated convex function :

$$
f(t, s):=t^{2}-\frac{1}{4} s^{2}, \quad t, s \in[0,1] .
$$

It is easy to see that for the function $G_{1}$ in (2.5), $G_{1}(x, x)=0$, for every $x \in[0,1]$. Moreover for every $x, y \in[0,1]$, with $x \neq y$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1}(x, y) & =\frac{1}{4(y-x)} \int_{x}^{y}\left(\frac{3}{2} t^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right) d t-\frac{1}{(y-x)^{2}} \int_{x}^{y} \int_{x}^{y}\left(t^{2}-\frac{1}{4} s^{2}\right) d t d s \\
& =\frac{1}{16}(x-y)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
G_{1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{16}(x-y)^{2}
$$

for every $x, y \in[0,1]$. Clearly $G_{1}$ is symmetric, continuous and differentiable on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. Thus, for every $x, y \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial x}\right)=\frac{1}{4}(y-x)^{2} \geq 0
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 the function $G_{1}$ is Schur-convex.
Example 2.14. Consider the non co-ordinated convex function :

$$
f(t, s):=2 t^{2}-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}, \quad t, s \in[0,1] .
$$

It is easy to see that for the function $F_{2}$ in (2.9), $F_{2}(x, x)=0$, for every $x \in[0,1]$. Moreover for every $x, y \in[0,1]$, with $x \neq y$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{2}(x, y) & =\frac{3}{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4(y-x)} \int_{x}^{y}\left(3 t^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{8}(x-y)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
F_{2}(x, y)=\frac{1}{8}(x-y)^{2},
$$

for every $x, y \in[0,1]$. Clearly $F_{2}$ is symmetric, continuous and differentiable on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$.
Thus we have

$$
(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial x}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(y-x)^{2} \geq 0
$$

for every $x, y \in[0,1]$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 the function $F_{2}$ is Schur-convex.
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