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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove the Riesz-Thorian interpolation theorem for weighted Orlicz and weighted Morrey Spaces.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Orlicz and Morrey spaces are two important generalizations of the usual Lebesgue spaces which so many research papers are based on them in the last decade; see the below two subsections for definition and some references of the weighted ones. Recently, the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem was proved in setting of Lebesgue-Morrey spaces in [15]; see [1] as a monograph. In this work, by a similar method, we give an extension of this theorem in setting of (weighted) Orlicz and Morrey spaces.
1.1. Weighted Morrey Spaces. For each $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t>0$, the set $\left\{a+y: a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, y \in[0, t]^{n}\right\}$ is called a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$. Then, the Morrey norm is defined by

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p, \lambda}}:=\sup \left\{|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(Q)}: Q \text { is a cube in } \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\},
$$

for all measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then, the set of all complexvalued measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p, \lambda}}<\infty$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{p, \lambda}$ and called a Morrey space. Morrey Spaces are generalization of Lebesgue spaces. In fact, for each $p \geq 1$ we have $\mathcal{M}^{p, 1}=L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. These spaces were initiated by C.B. Morrey in [3] while he was investigating elliptic differential equations, and then refined by Peetre [6]; see [9, 2, 10] as some recent works on this field.

Let $w: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. For each measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we denote

$$
\|f\|_{(p, \lambda, w)}:=\|w f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p, \lambda}} .
$$

Date: Received: December 6, 2020, Accepted: May 22, 2021.

* Corresponding author.

The set of all measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\|f\|_{(p, \lambda, w)}<\infty$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{w}^{p, \lambda}$ and is called the weighted Morrey space. Simply, we put

$$
\|f\|_{Q, p, w}:=\|f w\|_{L^{p}(Q)}
$$

where $Q$ is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
1.2. Weighted Orlicz Spaces. The books [7, 8] are two main monographs for Orlicz spaces. For giving the definition of an Orlicz space, one needs to recall Young functions. A convex even function $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a Young function if $\Phi(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \Phi(x)=0$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x)=\infty$. We say that a Young function $\Phi$ satisfies $\Delta_{2}$-condition (and write $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$ ) if for some constants $c>0$ and $x_{0} \geq 0$,

$$
\Phi(2 x) \leq c \Phi(x), \quad\left(x \geq x_{0}\right) .
$$

A continuous Young function $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a nice Young function (or simply $N$-function) if $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \Phi(x) / x=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) / x=\infty$, and $\Phi(x)=0$ implies that $x=0$.

The complementary of a Young function $\Phi$ is defined by

$$
\Psi(x):=\sup \{y|x|-\Phi(y): y \geq 0\}, \quad(x \in \mathbb{R})
$$

In this case, $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is called a complementary pair.
In sequel $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ would be a measure space, and we assume that the non-negative measure $\mu$ has the finite subset property i.e. for each $E \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(E)>0$, there exists a set $F \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $F \subseteq E$ and $0<\mu(F)<\infty$ (see [7, page 46]). For each measurable function $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we denote

$$
\|f\|_{\Phi}:=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) d \mu(x) \leq 1\right\}
$$

Then, the set of all measurable functions $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\|f\|_{\Phi}<\infty$ is denoted by $L^{\Phi}(\mathcal{X})$ and is called an Orlicz space. Since, by our assumption, $\mu$ has the finite subset property, $L^{\Phi}(\mathcal{X})$ is a complete normed space [7]. For each $1<p<\infty$, the function $\Phi_{p}$ defined by $\Phi_{p}(x):=|x|^{p}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, is a Young function and the Orlicz space $L^{\Phi_{p}}(\mathcal{X})$ is same as the usual Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\mathcal{X})$. Orlicz spaces, as extensions of Lebesgue spaces, have been studied in several recent decades; see for example $[4,5,11,12,13,14]$ as some recent works regarding Orlicz spaces in the context of locally compact groups and hypergroups.

Any measurable function $w: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is called a weight on $\mathcal{X}$, and we write $w^{-1}:=\frac{1}{w}$. The space of all measurable functions $f$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $w f \in L^{\Phi}(\mathcal{X})$ is called the weighted Orlicz space and is denoted by $L_{w}^{\Phi}(\mathcal{X})$. For each $f \in L_{w}^{\Phi}(\mathcal{X})$ we put $\|f\|_{\Phi, w}:=\|w f\|_{\Phi}$. Then, $\left(L_{w}^{\Phi}(\mathcal{X}),\|\cdot\|_{\Phi, w}\right)$ is also a Banach space. If $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$, then the dual of the Banach space $L_{w}^{\Phi}(\mathcal{X})$ equals $L_{w^{-1}}^{\Psi}(\mathcal{X})$ (see [4]) via the duality formula

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) g(x) d \mu(x)
$$

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we give Riesz-Thorian interpolation theorem for weighted Orlicz and weighted Morrey spaces. First we recall the following concept from [7, Chapter VI].

Definition 2.1. Let ( $\Phi_{0}, \Phi_{1}$ ) be a pair of Young functions and fix a number $0<\theta<1$. Then, the corresponding intermediate function $\Phi_{\theta}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\theta}^{-1}:=\left(\Phi_{0}^{-1}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\Phi_{1}^{-1}\right)^{\theta} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we recall the following lemma from [7, Proposition 4, Chapter VI] which plays a key role in the proof of the main result of this paper.

Lemma 2.2 (Three-Line Theorem). Let $F$ be a bounded and continuous finction on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\}$ and analytic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0<\operatorname{Re} z<1\}$.
Let $M_{0}, M_{1}>0$ be constant numbers such that

$$
|F(i t)| \leq M_{0}, \quad|F(1+i t)| \leq M_{1}, \quad(-\infty<t<\infty)
$$

Then, for each $0<\theta<1$ we have

$$
|F(\theta+i t)| \leq M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta}, \quad(-\infty<t<\infty)
$$

In the next theorem, for each $p>0$, we assume that $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$.
Theorem 2.3. Assume that $\left(\Phi_{i}, \Psi_{i}\right) \quad(i=0,1)$ are complimentary pairs of $N$-functions such that $\Phi_{i} \in \Delta_{2}$ for $i=0,1$. Let $1 \leq p_{i}<\infty, 0 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ ( $i=0,1$ ), and $0<\theta<1$ be a fixed number. Let $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ be weight functions on $\mathcal{X}$, and $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ be weight functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let the mappings

$$
k: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text { and } \quad k^{\prime}: \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

satisfy the following properties:
(1) for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R},|k(y, i t)| \leq w_{0}(y)$ and $|k(y, 1+i t)| \leq$ $w_{1}(y)$.
(2) for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R},\left|k^{\prime}(x, i t)\right| v_{0}(x) \leq 1$ and $\mid k^{\prime}(x, 1+$ it) $\mid v_{1}(x) \leq 1$.
(3) for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the mappings $k(x, \cdot)$ and $k^{\prime}(y, \cdot)$ are analytic. Also, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the mappings $k(\cdot, z)$ and $k^{\prime}(\cdot, z)$ are measurable.
Let

$$
w_{\theta}(y):=k(y, \theta)^{-p_{\theta}^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{\theta}(x):=\frac{1}{k^{\prime}(x, \theta)} \quad\left(x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) .
$$

Assume that for each $f \in L_{v_{0}}^{\Phi_{0}}(\mathcal{X})$ and $g \in L_{v_{1}}^{\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{X})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(f)\|_{\left(p_{0}, \lambda_{0}, w_{0}\right)} \leq M_{0}\|f\|_{\Phi_{0}, v_{0}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(g)\|_{\left(p_{1}, \lambda_{1}, w_{1}\right)} \leq M_{1}\|g\|_{\Phi_{1}, v_{1}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\|T f\|_{\left(p_{\theta}, \lambda_{\theta}, w_{\theta}\right)} \leq M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta}\|f\|_{\Phi_{\theta}, v_{\theta}},
$$

for all $f \in L_{v_{\theta}}^{\Phi_{\theta}}(\mathcal{X})$, where $\Phi_{\theta}$ is the intermediate function corresponding to $\Phi_{0}$ and $\Phi_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\theta}:=\left((1-\theta) p_{0}^{-1}+\theta p_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{\theta}:=(1-\theta) \lambda_{0} p_{\theta} p_{0}^{-1}+\theta \lambda_{1} p_{\theta} p_{1}^{-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For each complex number $z$ put $\operatorname{sgn}(z)=\frac{z}{|z|}$ if $z \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{sgn}(z)=0$ of $z=0$. Let $f$ be a simple function on $\mathcal{X}$ with $\|f\|_{\Phi_{\theta}, v_{\theta}}=1$. Define

$$
A(x, z):=\operatorname{sgn}(f(x)) \cdot\left[\Phi_{0}^{-1}\left(\Phi_{\theta}\left(|f(x)| v_{\theta}(x)\right)\right)\right]^{1-z} \cdot\left[\Phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\Phi_{\theta}\left(|f(x)| v_{\theta}(x)\right)\right)\right]^{z} \cdot k^{\prime}(x, z)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Fix a cube $Q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $g$ be a simple function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\|g\|_{Q, p_{\theta}^{\prime}, w_{\theta}^{-1}}=1$. Define

$$
B(y, z):=\operatorname{sgn}(g(y))|g(y)|^{\frac{p_{\theta}^{\prime}}{p_{z}^{\prime}}}\left(w_{\theta}(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{z}^{\prime}}} k(y, z)
$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where

$$
p_{z}:=\left((1-z) p_{0}^{-1}+z p_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{z}:=(1-z) \lambda_{0} p_{z} p_{0}^{-1}+z \lambda_{1} p_{z} p_{1}^{-1}
$$

Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q}\left(|B(y, i t)| w_{0}^{-1}(y)\right)^{p_{0}^{\prime}} d y & =\int_{Q}\left(\left(\left(|g(y)| w_{\theta}^{-1}(y)\right)^{p_{\theta}^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{0}^{\prime}}} \cdot|k(y, i t)| w_{0}^{-1}(y)\right)^{p_{0}^{\prime}} d y \\
& \leq \int_{Q}\left(|g(y)| w_{\theta}^{-1}(y)\right)^{p_{\theta}^{\prime}} d y \\
& =\|g\|_{Q, p_{\theta}^{\prime}, w_{\theta}^{-1}}^{p_{\theta}^{\prime}} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B(\cdot, i t)\|_{Q, p_{0}^{\prime}, w_{0}^{-1}} \leq 1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q}\left(|B(y, 1+i t)| w_{1}^{-1}(y)\right)^{p_{1}^{\prime}} d y & =\int_{Q}\left(\left(\left(|g(y)| w_{\theta}^{-1}(y)\right)^{p_{\theta}^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}^{\prime}}} \cdot|k(y, i t)| w_{1}^{-1}(y)\right)^{p_{1}^{\prime}} d y \\
& \leq \int_{Q}\left(|g(y)| w_{\theta}^{-1}(y)\right)^{p_{\theta}^{\prime}} d y \\
& =\|g\|_{Q, p_{\theta}^{\prime}, w_{\theta}^{-1}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B(\cdot, 1+i t)\|_{Q, p_{1}^{\prime}, w_{1}^{-1}} \leq 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi_{0}\left(|A(x, i t)| v_{0}(x)\right) d \mu(x) & =\int_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi_{0}\left(\Phi_{0}^{-1}\left(\Phi_{\theta}\left(|f(x)| v_{\theta}(x)\right)\right) \cdot k^{\prime}(x, i t) v_{0}(x)\right) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi_{0}\left(\Phi_{0}^{-1}\left(\Phi_{\theta}\left(|f(x)| v_{\theta}(x)\right)\right)\right) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi_{\theta}\left(|f(x)| v_{\theta}(x)\right) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A(\cdot, i t)\|_{\Phi_{0}, v_{0}} \leq 1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by the hypothesis one can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A(\cdot, 1+i t)\|_{\Phi_{1}, v_{1}} \leq 1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi_{1}\left(|A(x, 1+i t)| v_{1}(x)\right) d \mu(x) & =\int_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\Phi_{\theta}\left(|f(x)| v_{\theta}(x)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\leq k_{\mathcal{X}}(x, 1+i t) v_{1}(x)\right) d \mu(y) \\
& \left.\leq \Phi_{\mathcal{X}} \Phi_{\theta}^{-1}\left(| | f(x) \mid v_{\theta}\left(|f(x)| v_{\theta}(x)\right)\right)\right) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, define

$$
F_{Q}(z):=|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{z}}{p_{z}}} \int_{Q} T(A(\cdot, z))(y) B(y, z) d y, \quad(z \in \mathbb{C}) .
$$

Then, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{Q}(i t)\right| & \leq|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{0}}{p_{0}}} \int_{Q}|T(A(\cdot, i t))(y)||B(y, i t)| d y \\
& \leq|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{0}}{p_{0}}}\|T(A(\cdot, i t))\|_{Q, p_{0}, w_{0}}\|B(\cdot, i t)\|_{Q, p_{0}^{\prime}, w_{0}^{-1}} \\
& \leq|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{0}}{p_{0}}}\|T(A(\cdot, i t))\|_{Q, p_{0}, w_{0}} \\
& \leq\|T(A(\cdot, i t))\|_{\left(p_{0}, \lambda_{0}, w_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq M_{0}\|A(\cdot, i t)\|_{\Phi_{0}, v_{0}} \leq M_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

thanks to the relations (2.5), (2.7) and (2.2). Similarly, by the relations $(2.8),(2.6)$ and (2.3), for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{Q}(1+i t)\right| & \leq|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{p_{1}}} \int_{Q}|T(A(\cdot, 1+i t))(y)||B(y, 1+i t)| d y \\
& \leq|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{p_{1}}}\|T(A(\cdot, 1+i t))\|_{Q, p_{1}, w_{1}}\|B(\cdot, 1+i t)\|_{Q, p_{1}^{\prime}, w_{1}^{-1}} \\
& \leq|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{p_{1}}}\|T(A(\cdot, 1+i t))\|_{Q, p_{1}, w_{1}} \\
& \leq\|T(A(\cdot, 1+i t))\|_{\left(p_{1}, \lambda_{1}, w_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq M_{1}\|A(\cdot, 1+i t)\|_{\Phi_{1}, v_{1}} \leq M_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, by Three-Line Theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{\theta}}{p_{\theta}}}\left|\int_{Q} T(f)(y) g(y) d y\right\rangle\left|=\left|F_{Q}(\theta)\right| \leq M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta}\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $f=A(\cdot, \theta)$ and $g=B(\cdot, \theta)$. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(f)\|_{\left(p_{\theta}, \lambda_{\theta}, w_{\theta}\right)} & =\sup \left\{|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{\theta}}{p_{\theta}}}\left\|T(f) w_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{p_{\theta}}(Q)}: Q \text { is a cube in } \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left.|Q|^{\frac{-\lambda_{\theta}}{p_{\theta}}}\left|\int_{Q} T(f)(y) h(y) d y\right\rangle \right\rvert\,: Q \text { is a cube in } \mathbb{R}^{n}\right. \\
& \leq M_{0}^{1-\theta} M_{1}^{\theta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof because the set of all simple functions is dense in $\mathcal{M}_{w_{\theta}^{-1}}^{p_{\theta}, \lambda_{\theta}}$.

Example 2.4. Let $\left(\Phi_{i}, \Psi_{i}\right) \quad(i=0,1)$ be complimentary pairs of Nfunctions. Suppose that $0<\theta<1$ is a fixed number, $1 \leq p_{i}<\infty$, $0 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1 \quad(i=0,1), v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ are weight functions on $\mathcal{X}$, and $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ are weight functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, the functions $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ defined by:

$$
k(y, z):=w_{0}(y)^{1-z} w_{1}(y)^{z}
$$

and

$$
k^{\prime}(x, z):=v_{0}(x)^{z-1} v_{1}(x)^{-z}
$$

where $x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3.
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