



## INFINITELY MANY SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF NONLINEAR FRACTIONAL EQUATIONS WITH IMPULSIVE EFFECTS

MOHAMMAD ABOLGHASEMI

**ABSTRACT.** The existence of infinitely many solutions for a class of impulsive fractional boundary value problems is established. Our approach is based on recent variational methods for smooth functionals defined on reflexive Banach spaces. Some recent results are extended and improved. One example is given in this paper to illustrate the main results.

**MSC(2010):** 26A33, 34K37, 49N25, 74S40.

**Keywords:** Fractional differential equations; Impulsive effects; Infinitely many solutions; Variational methods.

### 1. Introduction and Background

The aim of this paper is to investigate the existence of infinitely many classical solutions for the following nonlinear impulsive fractional boundary value problem (BVP, for short):

$$(P_\lambda^f) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_t D_T^\alpha ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)) + a(t)u(t) &= \lambda f(t, u(t)), \quad t \neq t_j, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ \Delta ({}_t D_T^{\alpha-1} ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u)) (t_j) &= I_j(u(t_j)), \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(0) = u(T) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ ,  $a \in C([0, T])$  such that there are  $a_0, a_1 > 0$  such that  $0 < a_0 \leq a(t) \leq a_1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function,  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} = T$ ,  $\Delta ({}_t D_T^\alpha ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)))(t_j) = {}_t D_T^\alpha ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)) (t_j^+) - {}_t D_T^\alpha ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)) (t_j^-)$  and  $I_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$  are continuous.

Fractional differential equations arise in many engineering and scientific disciplines as the mathematical modeling of systems and processes in the fields of physics, chemistry, aerodynamics, electrodynamics of complex medium or polymer rheology. On this kind of equations the derivatives of fractional order [18, 19, 20] are involved. The interest of the study of fractional-order differential equations lies in the fact that fractional-order models are more accurate than integer-order models, that is, there are more degrees of freedom in the fractional-order models. Furthermore, fractional derivatives provide an excellent instrument for the description of memory and hereditary properties of various materials and processes due to the existence of a “memory” term in a model. This memory term insures the history and its impact to the present and future, see [26]. In consequence, the subject of fractional differential equations is gaining much importance and attention. For details, see [4, 6, 8, 10] and the references therein.

In recent years, a great deal of work has been done in the study of the existence of solutions for impulsive boundary value problems (IBVPs for short), by which a number of chemotherapy, population dynamics, optimal control, ecology, industrial robotics and physics phenomena are described. For the general aspects of impulsive differential equations, we refer the reader to the classical monograph [22]. For some general and recent works on impulsive differential equations studied via variational methods and critical point theory, we refer the reader to [1, 2, 13, 14, 15, 16] and the references therein.

Due to the great development in the theory of fractional calculus and impulsive differential equations as well as having wide applications in several fields. On the other hand, in recent years, some researchers have used variational methods to study the existence of solutions for fractional differential equations with impulses, see for instance, [5, 7, 11] and the references therein for detailed discussions.

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, by using some critical theorems obtained in [23, Theorem 9.12] which we recall in the next section (see Theorem 2.1), under Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (AR) on the nonlinear term and impulsive functions we discuss the existence of infinitely many classical solutions for the problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$  (see Theorem 3.1). We present Example 3.5, in which the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. In Theorem 3.6 we discuss the existence of infinitely many solutions for the problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$  when the nonlinear term is superlinear.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we formulate our main results on the existence infinitely many weak solutions for the problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$ . Our main tool to ensure the results is Theorem 9.12 of [23] that we now recall here.

**Theorem 2.1.** [23, Theorem 9.12] *Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional real Banach space. Let  $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  be an even functional which satisfies the PS condition, and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $X = V \oplus E$ , where  $V$  is infinite dimensional, and  $\varphi$  satisfies that*

- (i) *there exist  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\varphi(u) \geq \alpha$  for all  $u \in E$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$ ,*
- (ii) *for any finite dimensional subspace  $W \subset X$ , there is  $R = R(W)$  such that  $\varphi(u) \leq 0$  on  $W \setminus B_{R(W)}$ .*

*Then  $\varphi$  possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.*

Theorem 2.1 has been successfully used to ensure the existence of infinitely many solutions for boundary value problems in the papers [3, 12, 24].

To create suitable function spaces and apply critical point theory to explore the existence of solutions for the problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$ , we require the following essential notations and findings which will be used in establishing our main results.

**Definition 2.2.** [18] For a function  $f$  defined on  $[0, T]$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order  $\alpha$  for the function  $f$  are defined by

$${}_0D_t^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

and

$${}_tD_T^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^T (s-t)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

while the right-hand sides are point-wise defined on  $[0, T]$  where  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  is the gamma function.

**Definition 2.3.** [18] Let  $a, T \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{AC}([0, T])$  be the space of absolutely continuous functions on  $[0, T]$ . For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $f \in \text{AC}([0, T])$  left and right Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives are defined by:

$${}_0D_t^\alpha f(t) \equiv \frac{d}{dt} {}_0D_t^{\alpha-1} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} f(s) ds,$$

$${}_tD_T^\alpha f(t) \equiv -\frac{d}{dt} {}_tD_T^{\alpha-1} f(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_t^T (s-t)^{-\alpha} f(s) ds,$$

$${}_0^cD_t^\alpha f(t) \equiv {}^cD_{0+}^\alpha f(t) := {}_0D_t^{\alpha-1} f'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} f'(s) ds$$

and

$${}_t^cD_T^\alpha f(t) \equiv {}^cD_{T-}^\alpha f(t) := -{}_tD_T^{\alpha-1} f'(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_t^T (s-t)^{-\alpha} f'(s) ds$$

where  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  is the gamma function. Note that when  $\alpha = 1$ ,  ${}_0^cD_t^1 f(t) = f'(t)$  and  ${}_t^cD_T^1 f(t) = -f'(t)$ .

We have the following property of fractional integration.

**Proposition 2.4.** [18, 21] *We have the following property of fractional integration*

$$\int_0^T [{}_0D_t^{-\gamma} f(t)] g(t) dt = \int_0^T [{}_tD_T^{-\gamma} g(t)] f(t) dt, \quad \gamma > 0,$$

provided that  $f \in L^p([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $g \in L^q([0, b], \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $1/p + 1/q \leq 1 + \gamma$  or  $p \neq 1$ ,  $q \neq 1$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1 + \gamma$ .

Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $E_0^{\alpha,p}(0, T)$  be the Banach space, which is closure of  $C_0^\infty([0, T])$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{E_0^{\alpha,p}(0,T)}^p = \|{}_a^cD_t^\alpha u(t)\|_{L^p(0,T)}^p + \|u\|_{L^p(0,T)}^p.$$

It is an established fact that  $E_0^{\alpha,p}(0, T)$  is a reflexive and separable Banach space (see [17, Proposition 3.1]). In short  $E_{0,T}^{\alpha,2} = E^\alpha$ , and by  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  the norms in  $L^2(0, T)$  and  $C([0, T])$ :

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_0^T |u(t)|^2 dt, \quad u \in L^2[0, T]$$

and

$$\|u\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)|, \quad u \in C([0, T]).$$

$E^\alpha$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(u, v)_\alpha = \int_0^T ({}_0^cD_t^\alpha u(t) {}_0^cD_t^\alpha v(t) + u(t)v(t)) dt$$

and the norm

$$\|u\|_\alpha^2 = \int_0^T (|{}_0^cD_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 + |u(t)|^2) dt.$$

Pay attention that if  $a \in C([0, T])$  and there are two positive constants  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , so that  $0 < a_1 \leq a(t) \leq a_2$ , an equivalent norm in  $E^\alpha$  is

$$\|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 = \int_0^T (|{}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 + a(t)|u(t)|^2) dt.$$

**Proposition 2.5.** [17] *Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . For every  $u \in E^\alpha$ , we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\| \leq \frac{T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|{}_0^c D_t^\alpha u\|.$$

In addition, for  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$ ,

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{T^{\alpha-1/2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2}} \|{}_0^c D_t^\alpha u\|.$$

By (2.1), we can take  $E^\alpha$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{0,\alpha} = \left( \int_0^T |{}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = \|{}_0^c D_t^\alpha u\|, \quad \forall u \in E^\alpha$$

in the following literature.

By Proposition 2.5, when  $\alpha > 1/2$ , for every  $u \in E^\alpha$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_\infty \leq k \left( \int_0^T |{}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = k \|u\|_{0,\alpha} < k \|u\|_{a,\alpha}$$

where

$$k = \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}.$$

Here we give the definition of weak and classical solutions for the problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$  as below:

**Definition 2.6.** A function  $u \in E^\alpha$  is said to be a weak solution of the problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$ , if for every  $v \in E^\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T [({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t))({}_0^c D_t^\alpha v(t)) + a(t)u(t)v(t)] dt + \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u(t_j))v(t_j) \\ & - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u(t))v(t) dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.7.** A function

$$u \in \left\{ u \in AC([0, T]) : \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (|{}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 + |u(t)|^2) dt < \infty, j = 0, \dots, m \right\}$$

is called to be a classical solution of problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$  if

$${}_t D_T^\alpha ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)) + a(t)u(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)) + h(u(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T] \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_m\},$$

the limits  ${}_t D_T^{\alpha-1} ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u)(t_j^+)$  and  ${}_t D_T^{\alpha-1} ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u)(t_j^-)$  exist,  $\Delta ({}_t D_T^{\alpha-1} ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u))(t_j) = I_j(u(t_j))$  and  $u(0) = u(T) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** [9, Lemma 2.1] *The function  $u \in E^\alpha$  is a weak solution of  $(P_\lambda^f)$  if and only if  $u$  is a classical solution of  $(P_\lambda^f)$ .*

For each  $u \in E^\alpha$ , consider the functional  $\varphi$  defined on  $E^\alpha$  by

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^u I_j(\xi) d\xi - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt.$$

It is clear that  $\varphi$  is differentiable at any  $u \in E^\alpha$  and

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi'(u)(v) &= \int_0^T [({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t))({}_0^c D_t^\alpha v(t)) + a(t)u(t)v(t)] dt + \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u(t_j))v(t_j) \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u(t))v(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for any  $v \in E^\alpha$ . Obviously,  $\varphi'$  is continuous.

Corresponding to the functions  $f$  and  $I_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we introduce the functions  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $J_j : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , respectively, as follows

$$F(t, \xi) = \int_0^\xi f(t, x) dx, \quad \text{for all } (t, \xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$J_j(x) = \int_0^x I_j(\xi) d\xi, \quad j = 1, \dots, m \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

### 3. Main result

In this section, we formulate our main results on the existence infinitely many classical solutions for the problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(A<sub>1</sub>) *there exists a constant  $\nu > 2$  such that*

$$0 < \nu F(t, u) \leq u f(t, u) \quad \text{for all } (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

(A<sub>2</sub>)

$$0 < u I_j(u) \leq \nu \int_0^\xi I_j(s) ds \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Moreover, if  $f(t, u)$  and  $I_j$  are odd about  $u$ , then the impulsive problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$  has infinitely many classical solutions for  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that (A<sub>1</sub>) – (A<sub>2</sub>) hold and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $\varphi(u)$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $E^\alpha$  such that  $\{\varphi(u_n)\}$  is bounded and  $\varphi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . First, we prove that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded. By (2.3) and (2.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \varphi(u_n) - \varphi'(u_n)(u_n) &= \left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right) \|u_n\|_{a,\alpha}^2 \\ &\quad + \nu \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_n(t_j)} I_j(s) ds - \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_n(t_j)) u_n(t_j) \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T (\nu F(t, u_n(t)) - f(t, u_n(t)) u_n(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (A<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>2</sub>), one has

$$(3.1) \quad \nu \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_n(t_j)} I_j(s) ds - \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_n(t_j)) u_n(t_j) \geq 0$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda \left( \int_0^T f(t, u_n(t)) u_n(t) dt - \nu \int_0^T F(t, u_n(t)) dt \right) \geq 0.$$

By considering (3.1)-(3.2), we conclude that

$$\nu \varphi(u_n) - \varphi'(u_n)(u_n) \geq \left( \frac{\nu}{2} - 1 \right) \|u_n\|_{a,\alpha}^2.$$

Since  $\nu > 2$  this implies that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded. Consequently, as  $E^\alpha$  is a reflexive Banach space, we have, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u && \text{in } E^\alpha, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u && \text{in } C[0, T] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, T].$$

By  $\varphi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$ , we obtain that

$$(\varphi'(u_n) - \varphi'(u))(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0.$$

From the continuity of  $f$  and  $I_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ), we know that

$$\int_0^T (f(t, u_n(t)) - f(t, u(t)))(u_n(t) - u(t)) dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (I_j(u_n(t_j)) - I_j(u(t_j)))(u_n(t_j) - u(t_j)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, an easy computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi'(u_n) - \varphi'(u))(u_n - u) \\ &= \int_0^T [({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u_n(t) - {}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t))({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u_n(t) - {}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)) + a(t)(u_n(t) - u(t))(u_n(t) - u(t))] dt \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m (I_j(u_n(t_j)) - I_j(u(t_j)))(u_n(t_j) - u(t_j)) \\ &- \lambda \int_0^T (f(t, u_n(t)) - f(t, u(t)))(u_n(t) - u(t)) dt \\ &\geq \|u_n - u\|_{a,\alpha}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi'(u_n) - \varphi'(u))(u_n - u) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{a,\alpha}^2.$$

So  $\|u_n - u\|_{a,\alpha} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies that  $\{u_n\}$  converges strongly to  $u$  in  $E^\alpha$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** [25, Lemma 2.2] *Assume that  $(A_1)$  holds. Then, for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , the following inequalities hold:*

$$F(t, x) \leq F\left(t, \frac{x}{|x|}\right) |x|^\nu \quad \text{if } 0 < |x| \leq 1$$

and

$$F(t, x) \geq F\left(t, \frac{x}{|x|}\right) |x|^\nu \quad \text{if } |x| \geq 1.$$

In view of Lemma 3.3,  $(A_1)$  implies that, for every  $t \in [0, T]$

$$(3.3) \quad F(t, x) \leq M|x|^\nu \quad \text{if } 0 < |x| \leq 1$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad F(t, x) \geq m|x|^\nu \quad \text{if } |x| \geq 1$$

where  $M = \max_{t \in [0, T], |x|=1} F(t, x)$ ,  $m = \min_{t \in [0, T], |x|=1} F(t, x)$ . Thanks to  $(A_1)$ , one has  $M > 0$  and  $m > 0$ . Since  $F(t, x) - m|x|^\nu$  is continuous on  $[0, T] \times [-1, 1]$ , there exists a constant  $C_2$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad F(t, x) \geq m|x|^\nu - C_2 \quad \text{for every } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [-1, 1].$$

So it follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad F(t, x) \geq m|x|^\nu - C_2 \quad \text{for every } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

**Remark 3.4.** From  $(A_2)$ , we can obtain that there exist constants  $a, b > 0$  such that

$$(3.7) \quad \int_0^\xi I_j(s) ds < a|\xi|^\nu + b$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Using the continuity of  $f$  and  $I_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we obtain that  $\varphi(u)$  is continuously and differentiable. In view of (2.3), it is obvious that  $\varphi(u)$  is even and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . First, we show that  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (i) in Theorem 2.1. For any  $u \in E^\alpha$ , by (2.3) and  $(A_2)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m J_j(u(t_j)) - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\|u\|_{a,\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{k}$  implies  $\|u\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Thanks to (3.3), one has

$$(3.8) \quad \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt \leq M \int_0^T |u|^\nu dt \leq MTk^\nu \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^\nu, \quad \|u\|_{a,\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

Combining (3.8) and  $(A_1)$ , one has

$$\varphi(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 - \lambda MTk^\nu \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^\nu.$$

Which implies that we can choose  $\rho > 0$  small enough such that  $\varphi(u) \geq \alpha > 0$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$ . Second, we show that  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (ii) in Theorem 2.1. Let  $W \subset E^\alpha$  is a finite

dimensional subspace. For every  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $u \in W \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\|u\|_{a,\alpha} = 1$ , by (3.6)-(3.7), we can imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(ru) &= \frac{1}{2}\|ru\|_{a,\alpha}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{ru(t)} I_j(s)ds - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, ru(t))dt \\ &\leq \frac{r^2}{2}\|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (a|r|^\nu |u(t)|^\nu + b) - \lambda|r|^\nu m \int_0^T |u(t)|^\nu dt + \lambda TC_2. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\nu > 2$ , the above inequality implies that there exists  $r_0$  such that  $\|ru\| > \rho$  and  $\varphi(ru) < 0$  for every  $r \geq r_0 > 0$ . Since  $W$  is a finite dimensional subspace, there exists  $R(W) > 0$  such that  $\varphi(u) \leq 0$  on  $W \setminus B_{R(W)}$ . According to Theorem 2.1, the functional  $\varphi(u)$  possesses infinitely many critical points, i.e. the impulsive problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$  has infinitely many classical solutions.  $\square$

Now, we illustrate Theorem 3.1 by presenting the following example.

**Example 3.5.** Consider the problem

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_t D_1^\alpha ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u(t)) + u(t) &= \lambda f(t, u), \quad t \neq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, 1], \\ \Delta ({}_t D_1^{\alpha-1} ({}_0^c D_t^\alpha u)) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= I_1(u\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)), \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(t, u) = t^2 \left( u^3 + u^3 e^{u^4} + u^7 e^{u^4} \right)$$

for every  $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $I_1(\zeta) = \frac{1}{5}\zeta$  for each  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the expression of  $f$ , we have

$$F(t, u) = t^2 \left( \frac{u^4}{4} + \frac{u^4 e^{u^4}}{4} \right)$$

for every  $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ . By choosing  $\nu = 4 > 2$ , the assumptions  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$  are fulfilled. Since  $f(t, u)$  and  $I_1$  are odd about  $u$ , we clearly see that all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Therefore, the impulsive problem (3.9) has infinitely many classical solutions.

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(A_3) \quad \text{there exist constants } R > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \lambda L_1 < \frac{1}{2Tk^2} \text{ such that}$$

$$F(t, u) \leq L_1 |u|^2 \text{ for all } (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, |u| \leq R,$$

$$(A_4) \quad F(t, u) \geq 0 \text{ for all } (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and there exist constants } R_1 > 0, \delta_1 > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 > \nu \text{ such that}$$

$$F(t, u) \geq \delta_1 |u|^{\alpha_1} \text{ for all } (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, |u| \geq R,$$

$$(A_5) \quad \text{there exists a constant } \nu > 2, \delta_1 \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 < \alpha_2 < 2 \text{ such that}$$

$$\nu F(t, \xi) - \xi f(t, \xi) \leq \delta_2 |u|^{\alpha_2},$$

$$(A_6)$$

$$0 < u I_j(u) \leq \nu \int_0^\xi I_j(s)ds, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$



Moreover, if  $f(t, u)$  and  $I_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  are odd about  $u$ , then the impulsive problem  $(P_\lambda^f)$  has infinitely many classical solutions for  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.6,  $\varphi(u)$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $E^\alpha$  such that  $\{\varphi(u_n)\}$  is bounded and  $\varphi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . By (2.3), (2.4) and (A<sub>5</sub>), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nu\varphi(u_n) - \varphi'(u_n)(u_n) &= \left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right) \|u_n\|_{a,\alpha}^2 \\ &\quad + \nu \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u_n(t_j)} I_j(s) ds - \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_n(t_j))u_n(t_j) \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T (\nu F(t, u_n(t)) - f(t, u_n(t))u_n(t)) dt \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right) \|u_n\|_{a,\alpha}^2 - \lambda T \delta_2 C^{\alpha_2} \|u_n\|_{a,\alpha}^{\alpha_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < \alpha_2 < 2$  it follows that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded on  $E^\alpha$ . The proof of the *PS* condition is similar to that in Lemma 3.3. We omit it here.  $\square$

*Proof.* Using the continuity of  $f$  and  $I_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we obtain that  $\varphi(u)$  is continuously and differentiable. In view of (2.3), it is obvious that  $\varphi(u)$  is even and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.7,  $\varphi(u)$  satisfies the *PS* condition. First, we show that  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (i) in Theorem 2.1. It is clear that  $\|u\|_{a,\alpha} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}} R = \frac{1}{k} R$  implies  $\|u\|_\infty \leq R$ . Thanks to (A<sub>3</sub>), one has

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt \leq \lambda L_1 \int_0^T |u|^2 dt \leq \lambda L_1 T k^2 \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2, \quad \|u\|_{a,\alpha} \leq \frac{R}{k}.$$

Combining (3.10) and (A<sub>6</sub>), one has

$$\varphi(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 - \lambda L_1 T k^2 \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda L_1 T k^2\right) \|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2.$$

Which implies that we can choose  $\rho > 0$  small enough such that  $\varphi(u) \geq \alpha > 0$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$ . Second, we show that  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (ii) in Theorem 2.1. Let  $W \subset E^\alpha$  is a finite dimensional subspace. According to Remark 3.4, it follows from (A<sub>6</sub>) that there exist constants  $a, b, c, d > 0$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \int_0^\xi I_j(s) ds < a|u|^\nu + b$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . By (A<sub>4</sub>), we can imply that there exists a constant  $C_3 > 0$  such that

$$(3.12) \quad F(t, x) \geq \delta_1 |x|^{\alpha_1} - C_3 \quad \text{for every } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

For every  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $u \in W \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\|u\|_{a,\alpha} = 1$ , by (3.11)-(3.12), we can imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(ru) &= \frac{1}{2}\|ru\|_{a,\alpha}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{ru(t_j)} I_j(s) ds \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, ru(t)) dt \\ &\leq \frac{r^2}{2}\|u\|_{a,\alpha}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m c|r|^\nu |u(t)|^\nu + b \\ &\quad - \lambda|r|^{\alpha_1} \delta_1 \int_0^T |u(t)|^{\alpha_1} dt + \lambda TC_3. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\alpha_1 > \nu$ , the above inequality implies that there exists  $r_0$  such that  $\|ru\|_{a,\alpha} > \rho$  and  $\varphi(ru) < 0$  for every  $r \geq r_0 > 0$ . Since  $W$  is a finite dimensional subspace, there exists  $R(W) > 0$  such that  $\varphi(u) \leq 0$  on  $W \setminus B_{R(W)}$ .  $\square$

### Conclusion

We studied a class of impulsive fractional boundary value problems. We discussed the existence of infinitely many solutions for the problem employing a recent variational methods for smooth functionals defined on reflexive Banach spaces under Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (AR) on the nonlinear term and impulsive functions. We discussed the existence of infinitely many solutions for the problem when the nonlinear term is superlinear.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abolghasemi, S. Moradi, Existence of three classical solutions for impulsive fractional boundary value problem with  $p$ -Laplacian. *Mathematical Analysis and Convex Optimization (MACO)*, **2**:83–103, 2022.
- [2] M. Abolghasemi, S. Moradi, Infinitely many solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problem with  $p$ -Laplacian with impulsive effects. *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, **41**:81–15, 2023.
- [3] G. A. Afrouzi, S. Moradi, G. Caristi, Infinitely many solutions for impulsive nonlocal elastic beam equations. *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.*, **30**:287–300, 2022.
- [4] R.P. Agarwal, D. ÖRegan, S. Stanek, Positive solutions for Dirichlet problems of singular nonlinear fractional differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **371**:57–68, 2010.
- [5] A. Anguraj, M. Latha Maheswari, Existence of solutions for fractional impulsive neutral functional infinite delay integrodifferential equations with nonlocal conditions. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **5**:271–280, 2012.
- [6] A. Babakhani, V.D. Gejji, Existence of positive solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **278**:434–442, 2003.
- [7] C. Bai, Impulsive periodic boundary value problems for fractional differential equation involving Riemann-Liouville sequential fractional derivative. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **384**:211–231, 2011.
- [8] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, S.K. Ntouyas, Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order and nonlocal conditions. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **71**:2391–2396, 2009.
- [9] G. Bonanno, R. Rodríguez-López, S. Tersian, Existence of solutions to boundary-value problem for impulsive fractional differential equations. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **3**:717–744, 2014.
- [10] M.A. Darwish, S.K. Ntouyas, On initial and boundary value problems for fractional order mixed type functional differential inclusions. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **59**:1253–1256, 2010.
- [11] Z. Gao, L. Yang, G. Liu, Existence and uniqueness of solutions to impulsive fractional integro-differential equations with nonlocal conditions. *Appl. Math.*, **4**:859–863, 2013.
- [12] A. Ghobadi, S. Heidarkhani, Multiple solutions for nonlocal fractional Kirchhoff type problems. *Differ. Equ. Appl.*, **4**:597–608, 2022.
- [13] J.R. Graef, S. Heidarkhani, L. Kong, S. Moradi, Existence results for impulsive fractional differential equations with  $p$ -Laplacian via variational methods. *Mathematica Bohemica*, **147**(1):95–112, 2022.

- [14] J.R. Graef, S. Heidarkhani, L. Kong, S. Moradi, Three solutions for impulsive fractional boundary value problems with  $p$ -Laplacian. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, **48**:1413–1433, 2022.
- [15] S. Heidarkhani, A. Salari, Nontrivial solutions for impulsive fractional differential systems through variational methods, *Mathematical Methods in Applied Sciences*, **43**: 6529–6541, 2020.
- [16] S. Heidarkhani, Y. Zhao, G. Caristi, G.A. Afrouzi, S. Moradi, Infinitely many solutions for perturbed impulsive fractional differential problems. *Appl. Anal.*, **96**:1401–1424, 2017.
- [17] F. Jiao, Y. Zhou, Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problems via critical point theory. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62**:1181–1199, 2011.
- [18] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [19] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equation*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [20] J. Sabatier, O.P. Agrawal, J.A.T. Machado, *Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
- [21] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integral and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Longhorne, PA, 1993.
- [22] V. Lakshmikantham, D.D. Bainov, P.S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [23] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, in: CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [24] D. Zhang, B. Dai, Infinitely many solutions for a class of nonlinear impulsive differential equations with periodic boundary conditions. *Compu. Math. Appl.*, **61**: 3153–3160, 2011.
- [25] J. Sun, H. Chen, J.J. Nieto, M. Otero-Novoa, Multiplicity of solutions for perturbed second-order Hamiltonian systems with impulsive effects. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **72**:4575–4586, 2010.
- [26] M.P. Lazarević, A.M. Spasić, Finite-time stability analysis of fractional order time-delay systems: Gronwall's approach. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **49**:475–481, 2009.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, RAZI UNIVERSITY, 67149 KERMANSHAH, IRAN  
Email address: m\_abolghasemi@razi.ac.ir (M. Abolghasemi)